

# Full Cost Model Readiness and Pilot Implementation Guide









# Full Cost Model Review

Process Considerations for Piloting Your Own Full Cost Model

# Activity-Based Costing (ABC) OVERVIEW

#### Baseline higher education methodology







# Activity-Based Costing (ABC) OVERVIEW

#### **Development process**



Change management









# Scope and understand

Understanding and communicating the need for ABC

# UNDERSTAND AND COMMUNICATE NEED

Positioning ABC as a tool

Positioning ABC as a tool for academic resource management requires a shared understanding of how ABC will benefit your institution



What is ABC?

(?)

(?)

?

How will ABC benefit the institution?

Why is ABC being done?





# UNDERSTAND AND COMMUNICATE

#### Key differences between faculty and administrators

Dimension	Faculty	Administrators
Governance	Collegial	Political
Culture	Teamwork	Rational
Climate	Developmental	Professional
Motivation	Institutional mission and goals, educational resources, and faculty development opportunities	Faculty selection and evaluation processes/criteria, faculty rewards

Differences between faculty and administrators are greatest at comprehensive universities and least at liberal arts colleges

Source: Peterson, M.W. and T.H. White (1992). <u>Faculty and Administrator Perceptions of Their Environments:</u> <u>Different Views or Different Models of Organization</u>. *Research in Higher Education* v. 33, no. 2.





# UNDERSTAND AND COMMUNICATE

#### Strategies for engaging faculty and academic leaders

- 1. Work with deans first
- 2. Demonstrate how ABC will be aligned with and inform other decision-making processes
- 3. Be transparent
- 4. Focus on the immediate benefits of ABC
- 5. Acknowledge that ABC might be uncomfortable at first
- 6. Be persistent
- 7. Emphasize that administrative costs are a key part of ABC
- 8. Show how ABC can lead to better decisions





# UNDERSTAND AND COMMUNICATE

ABC can and should...

Be a collegial process

Help assess fidelity to mission

Help guide academic decision making, particularly around cross-subsidies

Highlight how overhead use impacts academics

Be a powerful tool for managing academic resources









# Scope and understand

Assessing Data Readiness

- ABC provides one of the most challenging tests of data quality at an institution
- Before beginning an ABC project, institutions should assess the data readiness and address any weaknesses
- "Perfect" is not necessary but willingness to improve is





#### Data needed for ABC



#### Financial / General Ledger

- Expenditures by object code and organizational unit
- Revenues by source noting any restrictions on use



#### Human Resources and Payroll

- Salaries and benefits of all employees
- Faculty labor distribution (i.e., salaries and benefits amounts by source)
- Employee context (type, role/position, etc.)
- Full time equivalency (FTE) details



#### Facilities

- Space inventory and ownership
- Space usage (instructional, general, residential, etc.)





#### Data needed for ABC



#### Student data

- Student headcount and program/course enrollments
- Student tuition payments
- Student fee payments
- Student room and board payments
- Student financial aid



#### Timetable / Schedule

- Classroom to course section data
- Course section duration (face to face time)
- Instructional method
- Faculty course assignments



#### Activities

- Faculty effort by activity (workload profile)
- Effort required for reach course taught (course profile)
- Overhead activities / cost pools (developed)





Data needed for ABC

- Ideally, these data would come from an integrated ERP system
- In all likelihood, they will come from multiple systems and will need to be scrubbed and crosswalked
- Data need to be governed by reasonably consistent standards
- Some data will very likely need to be created





What if my data is not perfect?

- Don't avoid ABC just because your data aren't in great shape
- Developing an ABC model is a good way to improve data quality and advance good data governance
- Plan on a lot of initial scrubbing that you will eventually want to replace with formal data governance and improved system integration





#### Data quirks

- Even perfect data is going to have some quirks that need to be dealt with:
  - Cross-listed and team-taught courses
  - Co-PIs on grants
  - Accounting oddities like prior-year adjustments, write-downs, etc.
  - Activities that occur outside of the normal organizational structure (e.g., a president teaching a course)









# Scope and understand

Building a data culture

Information is useless if it is not applied to something important or if you will forget it before you have a chance to apply it.

Tim Ferriss The Four-Hour Workweek





## **BUILDING A DATA CULTURE**

#### Your ability to make data-informed decisions also relies upon...

#### Data "Drivenness"



How routinely is data used to drive decisionmaking?

- Decision-making processes are based on key metrics that are tracked over time
- Data is <u>available</u>, <u>understood</u>, <u>and used</u> at all levels of the organization

#### Trust



- Even with good data and metrics for decision-making, an institution's data culture can suffer if there is no trust
- Do not treat data as source of power or as a weapon
- Always communicate...even if it seems excessive
- Make sure everyone is aware of the values and intentions of stakeholders

#### Change



How willing is your institution to make/act on difficult decisions?

- Institution must be willing to commit to difficult change
- Have tolerance for bad news
- Respect different perspectives
- Commitment to academic quality and institutional integrity
- Don't see the world in terms of "winners" and "losers"
- Openly question assumptions
- Believe that people are truly listening
- Have a willingness to work with imperfect data









# Develop Model Building Model Assumptions







Basic assumptions that need to be made

- Organizational structure
- Accounting period
  - Matching academics to finances
  - Summer session expenses vs. activities
- Outputs to be costed
  - Typically teaching, research, and service, but...
  - Should also include auxiliaries





**Revenue allocation in ABC** 



Where possible, revenues should be associated with the activity that generates them





Allocating tuition in an ABC model

Allocating tuition revenues is a straightforward process...right?



- Team taught courses?
- Service courses taught to non-majors?
- Students with multiple majors and/or minors?
- Discounts and revenue offsets from financial aid?
- Faculty advising?





#### Options for allocating tuition

Institutional Values	Considerations for Allocating Tuition				
Access and affordability	Consider using average discounts instead of actual discounts on tuition to avoid penalizing programs with high-need students				
Student success	Consider allocating some share of the tuition paid by non-majors taking service courses back to their home department				
Avoiding course duplication	Consider allocating some share of the tuition paid by non-majors taking service courses back to their home department				
Interdisciplinary effort and collaboration	Make sure that tuition allocation closely tracks each faculty member's effort in activities				





Examples of other key assumptions that need to be discussed

- All drivers for allocating indirect expenses
- Allocating state appropriations and government grants
- Allocating unrestricted gifts and endowment income and gifts made to non-academic units
- Allocating revenues and expenses related to activities that span departments
- Course timetabling
- Costs of unused space





How best to make these assumptions

- Let the data be a guide
- Involve stakeholders
- Start simple and build complexity as needed
- Be flexible
- Use what you have but build for the future
- Experiment with different assumptions









# Developing Your Timeline

### **DEVELOPING YOUR TIMELINE**

Some facts about ABC model development

- ABC is generally driven by the fiscal year
- Iterative improvements tend to occur on an annual cycle
- You can prototype ABC on prior years' data
  - Results might not be as useful, but they are illustrative
  - They're also less threatening to skeptics
  - They help you build out historical analysis
- In-house development will take much longer
- An external partner can shorten the development time considerably





## **DEVELOPING YOUR TIMELINE**

#### Approach #1 - Doing it in-house

Year 1	Year 2						
Gather and clean source data from two fiscal years back	Share results with key faculty (e.g., faculty senate) for feedback						
Develop preliminary model assumptions	Revisit and revise assumptions						
Prototype ABC at school/college level	Gather and clean source data from prior fiscal year						
Share results with deans, gather feedback, and revise assumptions accordingly	Re-run model with prior-year data and share results for feedback						
Develop ABC at course/activity level	Provide data to decision-makers in budgeting process						
Share results with deans and department chairs, gather feedback, and revise assumptions accordingly	Re-run models for the second- and third-to-last fiscal year with latest assumption and study trends						
Gather and clean source data from prior fiscal year	Provide most recent ABC data and trend data to academic leaders for academic planning purposes						
Re-run model with prior-year data and share results for feedback	Identify ways to automate data gathering/cleaning						





### **DEVELOPING YOUR TIMELINE**

#### Approach #2 - Partnering with a consulting firm

ACTIVITY		Scheduled Weeks											
		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11 <sup>-</sup>	12	
Model Data Collection													
Deliverable 1: Scoping Study													
Deliverable 2: Create GL and HR Modules													
Deliverable 3: Create Program, Course and Facilities Modules													
Deliverable 4: Balanced and Reconcilable 1 <sup>st</sup> Pass Model													
Deliverable 5: Balanced and Reconcilable 2 <sup>nd</sup> Pass (Final) Model													











# Validate and Iterate

ABC is a journey, not a destination

- As a model, ABC is never perfect it becomes more useful over time and with ongoing improvement
- If used correctly, ABC can be both a tool for decision-making and a conversation between different stakeholders in the institution
- It helps if stakeholders are willing to tolerate some degree of imperfection, especially at first





The iterative nature of ABC

- The first round of ABC is likely to identify:
  - hidden problems in your data
  - inappropriate or incorrect assumptions
  - the sheer complexity of the institution and model
- ABC is going to invoke some strong reactions from academic leaders, ranging from "I told you so!" to "That just can't be!"
- These reactions are an important part of the iterative conversation and can be the source of model improvement





The iterative nature of ABC

- It is not a bad idea to have initial conversations using data from a few fiscal years back, enough in the past that people are a bit lest vested in the results, but enough like the present to warm them up to what the model is telling them
- It is probably also best to keep the conversation limited to top leaders before trying to socialize results with a wider audience





The iterative nature of ABC

- Subsequent iterations benefit from better data, tighter assumptions, and greater acceptance of results
- Trend data becomes available over time and is particularly useful, since people can begin to see cause-and-effect relationships in ABC results
- As acceptance for ABC grows from the top down, more and more stakeholders can be part of the ABC conversation - thereby improving the overall model assumptions and results









# KEY TAKEAWAYS

# Don't wait for perfect data because you will never start





# Communicate, educate and involve all stakeholders, particularly faculty





# ABC is as much about culture as it as about analysis





# The ABC model is a means to an end...the point is the analysis





# Just do it



